



United States Palent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,565	07/06/2001	Kevin E. Spaulding	82921RLO	4100
75	90 08/09/2004		EXAM	INER
Thomas H. Cl	ose		YANG, R	YAN R
Patent Legal Sta	aff			
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street			2672	12
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
مثا	Advisory Action	09/900,565	SPAULDING ET AL.
•	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
		Ryan R Yang	2672
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
There final recondit	REPLY FILED 01 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	-	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the san SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:	
(a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🗌 they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: The amended claims required further se	arch and consideration.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:	
	Claim(s) allowed:		
•	Claim(s) objected to:		
	Claim(s) rejected:		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
10.	Other:		JEFFER: EKIET: PRIMARY EXAMINER